1	TONY WEST		
2	Assistant Attorney General JOHN R. GRIFFITHS		
	Assistant Branch Director		
3	BRADLEY H. COHEN (DC Bar No. 495145) Trial Attorney		
4	United States Department of Justice		
5	Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch Post Office Box 883		
6	Washington, D.C. 20044 Tel: (202) 305-9855		
7	Fax: (202) 318-0486 bradley.cohen@usdoj.gov		
8	ALFRED W. RICCIARDI Arizona Bar No. 009457		
9	Aiken Schenk Hawkins & Ricciardi P.C.		
10	4742 N. 24 th Street, Suite 100 Phoenix, Arizona 85016-4859		
	Tel: (602) 248-8203		
11	Fax: (602) 248-8840 awr@ashrlaw.com		
12			
13	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT	
14			
15	DISTRICT	JF ARIZONA	
16	HEIN HETTINGA AND ELLEN HETTINGA d/b/a SARAH FARMS,	00 CW 00204 DWW WYG	
17	Plaintiffs,	09-CV-00204-PHX-JWS	
18	v.		
19	THOMAS J. VILSACK, in his capacity as	RESPONSE TO COURT ORDER OF JUNE 3, 2009, STIPULATION TO	
20	Secretary of the UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE,	SET BRIEFING SCHEDULE FOR MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY	
21	Defendant.	JUDGMENT, AND PLAINTIFFS' REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT	
22			
23	Plaintiffe Hain Hattings and Ellan Hatti	nga d/h/a Sarah Forms ("nlointiffs" or	
24	Plaintiffs Hein Hettinga and Ellen Hettinga, d/b/a Sarah Farms ("plaintiffs" or		
25	Hettinga'), and defendant Inomas J. Vilsack, in his capacity as Secretary of the United		
	States Department of Agriculture (defendant) pursuant to the Court's Order dated June 3,		
26	2009, advise the Court that plaintiffs seek declaratory relief which would reverse an		
27	administrative decision of the United States De	epartment of Agriculture pursuant to the	
28			

provisions of 7 U.S.C. § 608c(15)(B). This Court is vested with jurisdiction in equity to review the ruling of the Department of Agriculture under that statute as well as the provision of the Administrative Procedure Act. 5 U.S.C. § 702, et seq. A jury trial has been requested but the parties agree that there is no right to a jury trial in this case because there is no Seventh Amendment right to a jury trial in cases against the United States, and Congress has not affirmatively and unambiguously provided a statutory right to a jury trial in this instance.

Pursuant to the Court's Order of June 3, 2009, the parties have prepared a proposed briefing schedule regarding motions for summary judgment to be filed based upon the administrative record. The proposed briefing schedule is as follows:

- 1. Plaintiffs will file their Motion for Summary Judgment no later than September 7, 2009.
- Defendant will file his Combined Opposition to plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment and Cross-Motion for Summary Judgment motion no later than October 8, 2009.
- 3. Plaintiffs will file their Combined Reply in Support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment and Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment no later than October 26, 2009.
- 4 Defendant will file his Reply in Support of his Motion for Summary Judgment no later than October 30, 2009.

Pursuant to LR Civ. 7.2(f), <u>Rules of Practice of the United States District Court for the District of Arizona</u>, the Hettingas believe that oral argument on the cross-motions for summary judgment would assist the Court in making a decision on the Motions. Therefore, the Hettingas request oral argument. Defendant believes that the issues in this case are straightforward and can best be resolved through written briefing. If after reviewing the cross-motions, the Court determines that oral argument would be of assistance, Defendant has no objection to plaintiffs' request.

Pursuant to Paragraph II(C)(3), District of Arizona ECF Administrative Policies and

1	Procedures Manual (May 19, 2009), both parties certify that the content of this stipulation is		
2	acceptable to all registered signatories required to sign it. A proposed form of order is		
3	submitted herewith.		
4	$4 \parallel$		
5		.0.11	
6	6	spectfully submitted,	
7		NY WEST sistant Attorney General	
8		HN R. GRIFFITHS sistant Branch Director	
9		Bradley H. Cohen	
10	Tria	Bradley H. Cohen ADLEY H. COHEN al Attorney	
11	l Uni Civ	ted States Department of Justice il Division, Federal Programs Branch	
12	Wa	t Office Box 883 shington, D.C. 20044	
13	Fax	: (202) 305-9855 :: (202) 318-0486	
14		dley.cohen@usdoj.gov	
15		unsel for Defendant	
16	/s/	Alfred W. Ricciardi	
17	Ari	FRED W. RICCIARDI zona Bar No. 009457	
18	474	ten Schenk Hawkins & Ricciardi P.C. 2 N. 24 th Street, Suite 100	
19	Tel	penix, Arizona 85016-4859 : (602) 248-8203	
20	awr	: (602) 248-8840 : @ashrlaw.com	
2122	Cou	unsel for Plaintiffs	
23			
24			
25		OF SERVICE	
26	I hereby certify that on June 23, 2009, I caused a copy of the foregoing RESPONSE		
27	TO COURT ORDER OF JUNE 3, 2009, STIPULATION TO SET BRIEFING SCHEDULE		
28	FOR MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT, AND PLAINTIFFS' REQUEST FOR		
		2,12,212,111,1112 REQUEST 1 OR	

	Case 2:09-cv-00204-JWS Document 14 Filed 06/23/09 Page 4 of 4			
1	ORAL ARGUMENT to be electronically transmitted to the Clerk's Office using the			
2	CM/ECF System for filing along with and transmittal of a Notice of Electronic Filing to the			
3	following CM/ECF registrants:			
4	Alfred W. Ricciardi Aiken Schenk Hawkins & Ricciardi P.C. 4742 North 24th Street, Suite 100 Phoenix, Arizona 85016			
5				
6	Phoenix, Arizona 85016 Tel: (602) 248-8203 Fax: (602) 248-8840 awr@ashrlaw.com			
7	awr@ashrlaw.com			
8				
9	June 23, 2009 /s/ <i>Bradley H. Cohen</i>			
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				